### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

REC	ď	1	1	OCT	2004	
Tw	IPC	5_			PCT	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Appl	lcant's	or age	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER AS	OTION	See Notification	n of Transmittal of Internation	al	
GRF/FP6193338			338	FOR FURTHER A	CHON		amination Report (Form PCT		
International application No. PCT/GB 03/05329				International filing date 05.12.2003	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/yea 06.12.2002	ar)	
•			ent Classification (IPC) or bo	oth national classification a	and IPC		<u> </u>		
A61	K38/	17							
	icant								
SIN	GAP	OHE,	GENERAL HOSPITA	LARIE LTD, et alected	: •- • •	. A PAPER I	eren er ver ordinere er erstere og visteræs	n niki 1 Nazimita ilikika	
		<del></del>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
1.	This Auth	interi ority	national preliminary exar and is transmitted to the	nination report has bee applicant according to	n prepai Article 3	red by this Inte 6.	mational Preliminary Exan	nining	
		•				•			
2.	Thic	DED	OPT consists of a total o	fC about industing th	.i				
۷.	11115	ner'	ORT consists of a total of	i 6 sneets, including tr	iis covei	sneet.			
		This	report is also accompar	nied by ANNEXES, i.e.	sheets o	of the description	on, claims and/or drawings	which have	
		(see	n amended and are the t Rule 70.16 and Section	pasis for this report and 607 of the Administrat	<i>l</i> or shee ive Instr	ts containing re uctions under t	ectifications made before the PCT).	his Authority	
	The	se anı	nexes consist of a total of	f sheets.					
3.	This	repo	t-contains indications rel	ating to the following it	ems:	,	in the second of	or Control of the Source	
	1	Ø	Basis of the opinion						
	11		Priority						
	-		opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	IV V		Lack of unity of invention	on nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
	•	-	citations and explanation	ons supporting such statement					
	IV		Certain documents cite	d					
	VII		Certain defects in the in	• •					
	VIII	LJ.	Certain observations of	n the international appl	ication		Section 1	•	
Date of submission of the demand				<u> </u>					
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of	completion of thi	is report		
16.0	16.06.2004				08.10.	2004			
					00.10.				
Nam prelir	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authoria	zed Officer		Paleage.	
	European Patent Office								
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656			. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52365	6 epmu d	Deck,	Α			
	Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465				Telepho	one No. +49 89 2	399-8432	Sales estilo.	

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/05329

I. B	asis	of	the	re	port
------	------	----	-----	----	------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	cription, Pages	
	1-1	52	as originally filed
op 100 .	Sec	juence listings part o	of the description, Pages
	1-6	5	received on 23.04.2004 with letter of 20.04.2004
	Cla	ims, Numbers	
	1-62	2	as originally filed
	Dra	wings, Sheets	
	1/42	2-42/42	as originally filed
2.	Witl lang	n regard to the <b>langu</b> guage in which the int	age, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ernational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	se elements were ava	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a tra	inslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
• * * •	<u></u>	the language of publ	ication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3	inslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).
3.			otide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inter	rnational application in written form.
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.
	$\boxtimes$	furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.
	$\boxtimes$	furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
	⊠		he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.
	⊠	The statement that the listing has been furnit	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/05329

5.		This report has been establish been considered to go beyond			the amendments had not been made, since they have filed (Rule 70.2(c)).					
		(Any replacement sheet conta report.)	aining :	such amendı	ments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this					
6.	Ado	Additional observations, if necessary:								
111.	Nor	n-establishment of opinion w	ith re	gard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
1.	The oby	questions whether the claime ious), or to be industrially appli	d inver cable	ntion appears have not bee	to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- en examined in respect of:					
		the entire international applica	ation,							
	☒	claims Nos. 16-18, 27-62								
		because:								
	☒	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 16-18 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):								
		see separate sheet								
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):								
		the claims, or said claims Nos could be formed.	. are s	o inadequate	ely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion					
	$\boxtimes$	no international search report	has be	een establish	ed for the said claims Nos. 27-62					
2.	or a	meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and, amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative structions:								
		the written form has not been	furnisl	ned or does r	not comply with the Standard.					
		the computer readable form h	as not	been furnish	ed or does not comply with the Standard.					
V.	Rea cita	soned statement under Artic tions and explanations supp	ele 35( orting	2) with rega such state	rd to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;					
1.	Stat	ement								
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-26					
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-26					
	Indu	estrial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	SEE SEPARATE SHEET					

2. Citations and explanations

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/05329

see separate sheet

#### Concerning section III

- Claims 16-18 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered 1. by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).
- 2. As only the first group of invention (claims 1-26) indicated in the international search report has been the subject of a search, the examination is carried out on claims 1-26.

#### Concerning section V

- The following documents are referred to in this communication; the numbering will 1. be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:
  - D1: US-B-6 465 2101 (PELES ELIOR) 15 October 2002 (2002-10-15)
  - D2: WO 00/05364 A (SMITHKLINE BEECHAM PLC) 3 February 2000 (2000-02-03)
  - D3: WO 01/36631 A (SMITHKLINE BEECHAM PLC) 25 May 2001 (2001-05-25)
  - D4: BHAT M A ET AL: "Axon-glia interactions and the domain organization of myelinated axons requires neurexin IV/Caspr/Paranodin." NEURON. 369-383, XP002276824 ISSN: 0896-6273
  - D5: HAUBEN EHUD ET AL: "Vaccination with a Nogo-A-derived peptide after incomplete spinal-cord injury promotes recovery via a T-cell-mediated neuroprotective response: Comparison with other myelin antigens" PROCEEDINGS OF THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES OF USA, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCE. WASHINGTON, US, vol. 98, no. 26, 18 December 2001 (2001-12-18), pages 15173-15178, XP002244559 ISSN: 0027-8424

Unless indicated otherwise reference is made to the relevant passages emphasized in the search report.

2. D1 discloses the protein Caspr/p190, but does not disclose the combination Nogo+Caspr.

D2 discloses the proteins Nogo A and B, but does not disclose the combination Nogo+Caspr.

D3 discloses the protein Nogo C, but does not disclose the combination Nogo+Caspr.

D4 is a scientific publication which discloses the role of Caspr at the axon level. D4 does not mention any role for Nogo.

D5 is a scientific publication which discloses that vaccination with Nogo-A promotes recovery from spinal cord injury. No mention of Caspr.

None of the prior art discloses the combination of Nogo and Caspr in a pharmaceutical composition, nor the screening for substances which modulate the interaction between Nogo and Caspr. Hence the subject-matter of claims 1-26 meets the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.

- 3. The claimed invention is based on the discovery that Nogo and Caspr associate in the paranodes and control K+ channels. This interaction is thought to play a role in myelination.
  - This teaching is neither disclosed nor suggested in the prior art, hence the subject-matter is considered to make an inventive contribution to the art.

the state of the s

- 4. Remark: claims 15, 25 and 26 are unacceptable under Art. 5 and 6 PCT. They are so called "reach-through" claims wherein protection is sought for embodiments not yet identified. No examples are disclosed in the application as originally filed for the claimed substances, hence this subject-matter cannot pretend to patent protection.
- 5. For the assessment of the present claims 16-18 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.